

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Jan Heeres, et al.

Serial No. : 20,383

Filed : March 14, 1979

For : NOVEL HETEROCYCLIC DERIVATIVES OF (4-PHENYL-PIPERAZIN-1-YL-ARYLOXYMETHYL-1,3-DIOXOLAN-2-YL)-METHYL-1H-IMIDAZOLES AND 1H-1,2,4-TRIAZOLES

Examiner : J. Tovar

Group : 122

~~RECEIVED~~

MAR 17 1980

GROUP 140

RECEIVED
MAR 20 1980
GROUP 120Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D. C. 20231

February 25, 1980

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the Official Action dated December 26, 1979, the following remarks are presented.

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The courtesy of Examiner Tovar in granting an Interview on February 21, 1980, is gratefully acknowledged. During this Interview the pending rejections were discussed and arguments were presented regarding them by Applicants' Attorney.

It is noted that Claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 12, 13, and 14 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions. Contrary to the statement in the Official Action, this election was made with traverse in Paper No. 3. The Examiner is respectfully directed to the first paragraph in Section A on page 3 of the response.

A. Provisional Election

Applicants regret any inconvenience caused the Examiner by their failure to provisionally elect a single species claim. Applicants therefore provisionally elect with traverse species Claim 4.